

Governance and Audit Committee

26 September 2023

Subject: Six Month Review of Strategic Risks

Report by: Assistant Director People & Democratic Services

Contact Officer: Katy Allen

Corporate Governance Officer katy.allen@west-lindsey.gov.uk

Purpose / Summary: To present to the G&A Committee for review, the

strategic risks facing the Council as at

September 2023

RECOMMENDATION(S):

For G&A Committee:

Members are asked to review the register and to consider:

- Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist?
- Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?

IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

(N.B.) Where there are legal implications the report MUST be seen by the MO

Financial: FIN/71/24/JA

There are no financial implications arising from this report.

(N.B.) All committee reports MUST have a Fin Ref

Staffing: None

(N.B.) Where there are staffing implications the report MUST have a HR Ref

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

Any changes to projects/services/policies would require their own EIA to be carried out.

Data Protection Implications: None

Climate Related Risks and Opportunities:

The Strategic Risk register records, monitors and mitigates the risks of the Council not achieving its Corporate Plan and statutory objectives. This has been taken into account within these Strategic Risks in line with the Corporate Plan 2023-27.

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Considerations: None

Health Implications: None

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

https://www.west-lindsey.gov.uk/my-council/how-the-council-works/key-plans-policies-and-strategies/risk-management/

Risk Management Strategy 2019-2023

| Call in and Urgency: | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Is the decision one which Rule 14 | .7 of the Scrut | iny Procedure | Rules |
| i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman) | Yes | No | X |
| Key Decision: | | | |
| _ | | No | x |

Summary of Strategic Risks – as at September 2023

| Emma Foy | Inability to set a sustainable balanced budget | Our Council |
|----------------------|--|-------------|
| | Cost related to the proposed asylum centre at Scampton has an adverse impact on financial sustainability | Our Council |
| Ady Selby | The quality of services do not meet customer expectations | Our Council |
| | Inability to maintain critical services and deal with emergency events | Overarching |
| Sally Grindrod-Smith | Inability to raise local educational attainment and skills levels | Our People |
| | Inadequate support is provided for vulnerable groups and communities | Our People |
| | Health and wellbeing of the District's residents does not improve. | Our People |
| | The local housing market and the Council's housing related services do not meet demand | Our Place |
| | The local economy does not grow sufficiently | Our Place |
| Nova Roberts | Insufficient action taken to create a cleaner and safer district | Our Place |
| | ICT Security and Information Governance arrangements are ineffective | Overarching |
| | Inability to maintain service delivery with the amount of change initiatives | Overarching |
| Jeanette McGarry | Inability for the Council's governance to support quality decision making | Our Council |

| | Failure to comply with legislation including Health and Safety matters | Overarching | |
|----------------|---|-------------|--|
| Rachael Hughes | Central Lincolnshire Local Plan does not deliver land required for sustainable development to meet the needs of residents, businesses and communities | Overarching | |
| | Inability to deliver our Climate change ambitions and not deliver net zero carbon emissions by 2050 | Our Place | |

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Strategic risks are considered as being those faced by the Council that, if materialised, would adversely impact the delivery of corporate priorities.
- 1.2 This approach reflects the guidance provided by the Association of Local Authority Risk Managers (ALARM). This body advocates that strategic risks should focus on the long-term objectives of the organisation, which can be affected by areas such as financial concerns, political risks, legal and regulatory changes and changes in the physical environment.
- 1.3 The Governance and Audit Committee review the strategic risks on a six-monthly basis. (Previously presented March 2023)

2 Monitoring Arrangements

- 2.1 The strategic risks are presented to the Council's Management Team for review.
- 2.2 The Management Team review the risks, control measures and future actions to ensure that they remain sufficiently robust to mitigate the identified risks.
- 2.3 Where corrective action is required and/or additional risks are identified, the strategic risk register is updated accordingly.
- 2.4 Following the production and adoption of the Council's new Corporate Plan 2023-2027, it has been necessary to revise the strategic risks facing the Council.
- 2.5 In revising the strategic risks, there has been a number of changes. Most changes are to the commentary, current controls or actions needed. However where changes have affected the score or it is a new risk these are noted below:

| Strategic Risk | Change | Owner |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Cost related to the | New Risk | Emma Foy |
| proposed asylum | | |
| centre at Scampton | | |
| has an adverse | | |
| impact on financial | | |
| sustainability | | |
| Inability for the | Change to the | Jeanette McGarry |
| Council's governance | likelihood score due | |
| to support quality | to a new | |
| decision making | administration. | |
| Central Lincolnshire | New Risk | Rachael Hughes |
| Local Plan does not | | |
| deliver land required | | |
| for sustainable | | |
| development to meet | | |

| the needs of residents, businesses and communities | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| Inability to deliver our Climate change ambitions and not deliver net zero carbon emissions by 2050 | New Risk | Rachael Hughes |

2.6 The full detailed list of strategic risks are presented in Appendix One.

3. Risk Matrix

3.1 To assess the severity of potential risks, the Council uses the following matrix based on the relationship between the likelihood and impact of risks arising.

| I | Critical | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
|---|------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| m | Major | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| р | Minor | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| а | Negligible | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| С | | Hardly Ever | Possible | Probable | Almost Certain |
| t | Likelihood | | | | |

3.2 The following guidance is available to determine which classification is applied:

| Vou should assign a number in the re | ango 1 4 oo follows: |
|--|---|
| You should assign a number in the ra | arige 1-4 as follows. |
| Likelihood: | |
| 1 = Hardly Ever (<5%) | |
| 2= Possible (5-35%) | |
| 3= Probable (35-75%) | |
| 4= Almost Certain (>75%) | |
| 1 = Negligible Impact: | 2 = Minor Impact |
| Minor service disruption | Service disruption |
| Minor Injury | Loss time injury |
| • Financial loss < £250k | • Financial loss >£250k - |
| Isolated complaints | £500k |
| · | Adverse local media |
| | coverage |
| | Failure to achieve a service |
| | plan objective |
| 3 = Major Impact | 4 = Critical |
| - | |
| Significant service disruption | Total service loss for a |
| Major/disabling injury | significant period |
| Financial loss >£500k - £1m | Fatality to employee, service |
| | user or other |

- Adverse national media coverage
- Failure to achieve Corporate Plan objective
- Financial loss >£1m
- Ministerial intervention in running service
- 3.3 This methodology enables each risk to be categorised as either low, medium or high in nature and prioritisation as regards mitigations can be applied.
- 3.4 Using the methodology, the Council's Risk Strategy (2019-2023) sets out the requirement for risk owners to score the current (inherent) risk and the target (residual) risk once mitigations have been applied.

4. Recommendation

- 4.1 Members are asked to review the register and to consider:
 - Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist?
 - Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?